



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,705	12/02/2004	Takahito Hara	3056 US0P	1003
21874	7590	12/04/2008	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205				BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1643				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/04/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/516,705	HARA ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
LYNN BRISTOL	1643	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** after final (pre-appeal)

(1) Colleen McKiernan. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) LYNN BRISTOL. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 November 2008

**Time:** 2:30

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lynn A. Bristol/  
Partial Signatory Authority

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: 112, 1st paragraph (written description/ new matter)- the limitation "for at least three months" does not find literal support in the specification and is maintained for reasons of record for Claims 12, 71 and 72 as discussed in pre-appeal conference (11/20/08).

112, 1st paragraph (biological deposit)- the rejection would be withdrawn for Claims 73-76 as discussed in pre-appeal conference (11/20/08).

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Strategy for overcoming new matter rejection; to amend claim 12 to recite a) "at least 6 weeks", b) "at least 13 weeks" or c) "for 6 to 13 weeks." Also discussed cancelling claims 12, 71 and 72 (and withdrawn claims) to pursue in continuation application and maintain claims 73-76 for extant application.